



#### Our Road to Adopting CORE An Implementation Journey on SDTM Dataset Validation

Presented by Els Janssens Data Management System and Process Manager, SGS Health Sciences



# **Meet the Speaker**

#### Els Janssens

Title: Data Management System and Process Manager Organization: SGS Health Science

Els Janssens has more than 11 years of experience in the field of Clinical Data Management and CDISC SDTM standards (pharma and CRO). In her current role as Data Management Systems and Process Manager, she is DM expert and point of contact concerning DM systems and processes, with her primary focus on data standards and regulatory requirements. She is also CORE volunteer since September 2021.





### **Disclaimer and Disclosures**

- The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of CDISC.
- The author(s) have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to report.



### Agenda

- 1. Setting the Scene
- 2. Dataset Validation Meets CORE: Dream Team?
- 3. Our Journey through Implementation
- 4. Finetuning our Pathway
- 5. Conclusions



# 1. Setting the Scene



### **Unblinding Data Flow**



#### Lab samples

- PK samples (blood, stool, urine)
- Blood samples for biomarker analysis or immunogenicity
  - · ...

# Datafiles in different structures and formats

- CSV
- sas7bdat
- ...

#### **SDTM** datasets

- PC and PP SDTM dataset
- LB and IS SDTM dataset
- ...



# **Unblinding Data Flow: Incoming Data Validation**









# **Unblinding Data Flow: Outgoing Data Validation**







### 2. Dataset Validation Meets CORE: Dream Team?





#### CURRENT

1. Complex rule output = a list of data = labor intensive

#### NEW

1. Rules that generate simple output Conformance = no output = no work





#### CURRENT

- 1. Complex rule output = a list of data = labor intensive
- 2. Programming knowledge needed for rule creation and a lot of documentation

- 1. Rules that generate simple output Conformance = no output = no work
- 2. Easy creation of rules, low code, efficient workflow





#### CURRENT

- 1. Complex rule output = a list of data = labor intensive
- 2. Programming knowledge needed for rule creation and a lot of documentation
- 3. Newly released SDTM or regulatory conformance rules need to be added manually = no automatization

- 1. Rules that generate simple output Conformance = no output = no work
- 2. Easy creation of rules, low code, efficient workflow
- 3. Automatic upload of new SDTM or regulatory conformance rules





#### CURRENT

- 1. Complex rule output = a list of data = labor intensive
- 2. Programming knowledge needed for rule creation and a lot of documentation
- 3. Newly released SDTM or regulatory conformance rules need to be added manually = no automatization
- 4. Rules combine different aspects to be validated = challenging

- 1. Rules that generate simple output Conformance = no output = no work
- 2. Easy creation of rules, low code, efficient workflow
- 3. Automatic upload of new SDTM or regulatory conformance rules
- 4. Split up rules in validation purpose = clear categories



#### CURRENT

- 1. Complex rule output = a list of data = labor intensive
- 2. Programming knowledge needed for rule creation and a lot of documentation
- 3. Newly released SDTM or regulatory conformance rules need to be added manually = no automatization
- 4. Rules combine different aspects to be validated = challenging
- 5. Validates only the SDTM converted outgoing datasets, incoming transfer validated manually

- 1. Rules that generate simple output Conformance = no output = no work
- 2. Easy creation of rules, low code, efficient workflow
- 3. Automatic upload of new SDTM or regulatory conformance rules
- 4. Split up rules in validation purpose = clear categories
- 5. Option to validate incoming vendor file and outgoing SDTM converted dataset





1. Rules with simple output



Jection.	
check: all: - name: ACTARMCD operator: empty	Only output when data is
- name: ARMNRS	
operator: empty	= SDTM_non-conformance
Core:	
Id: CORE-000223	
Status: Published	
Version: '1'	
Description: Raise an error whe	en ACTARMCD is empty and ARMNRS is not completed.
Executability: Fully Executable	•
Outcome:	
Message: ACTARMCD is empty, b	out ARMNRS is not completed.
Output Variables:	
- ACTARMCD	
- ARMIRS	





#### 

#### CORE Rule Editor available in the SGS environment

Creator Q Janssens, Els ×	Standards Q Search	Orgs Q Search	Core ID Q Search	Status Q Search
Janssens, Els (Mechelen)	SDTMIG	CDISC	SGS-0003	Draft
Janssens, Els (Mechelen)	SDTMIG	CDISC	SGS-0002	Draft





.......

.....



2024 Europe CDISC+TMF Interchange | #ClearDataClearImpact

2. Easy

creation of

new rules

#### CORE Project Objectives on CORE | CDISC

The CORE Project objectives are to:

- · Ensure each standard has a set of unambiguous, executable Conformance Rules
- Ensure consistency across Conformance Rule implementations
- Expedite the availability of executable Conformance Rules for new Foundational Standards
- · Create executable Conformance Rules vetted by the CDISC standards development teams
- Create a Reference Implementation of an open-source engine that executes the Rules
- Release the open-source engine under the CDISC Open-Source Alliance (COSA)

#### FDA collaboration

CDISC is Proud to Announce a Research Collaboration to Incorporate FDA Business Rules into CDISC's Open Rules Engine (CORE)

Austin, TX - January 16, 2024 - CDISC is proud to announce a research cellaboration with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Office of Translational Sciences in the Center for Drug Biolaution and Research and Office of Regulatory Operations in the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research to incorporate FDA Business Rules into CDISC's Open Rules Engine (CORE).



1. Rules with

simple output



3. SDTM +

regulatory

conformance

rules















### 3. Our Implementation Journey so Far





# **Example 1: SDTM Data Structure and Conformance**

• <u>SGS-0001</u>: Check that the STUDYID is unique within the dataset

#### 1. write



#### 2. test





# **Example 1: SDTM Data Structure and DTA Compliance**

 <u>SGS-0002:</u> Check that STUDYID in the dataset equals STUDYID specified in the DTA

Filename - Label





# **Example 1: SDTM Data Structure and DTA Compliance**

• <u>SGS-0002</u>: Check that STUDYID in the dataset equals STUDYID specified in the DTA





# **Example 2: SDTM Data Structure and Data Content**

• <u>SGS-0003:</u> Check that --STRESC is equal to --ORRES when –STRESU equals --ORRESU

#### 1. write







### **Insights so far**



# 4. Finetuning our Pathway



### **Rule Creation**

Write simple checks, no output = no work



Write complex review as check but with output to review

Clear guidance: false positives possible or review output

Differentiate for different data types: blinded - unblinded

Decide on naming conventions and governance







# The ideal validation dashboard

#### Rule Engine generates output in Excel

allaset	CORE ID	- Message	· issues ·
CM	CORE-000262	RESTDTC in DM dataset is empty but CMSTRE is completed.	3
DM	CORE-000045	ARMNRS value is missing when ARMCD value is missing	95
MO	CORE-000046	ARMNRS is missing when ARM value is missing	95
DM	CORE-000223	ACTARMCD is empty, but ARMNRS is not completed.	95
DM	CORE-000224	ACTARM is empty, but ARMNRS is not completed.	95
EG	CORE-000249	Visit Day cannot be found in Trial Visit (TV) domain	117351
B	CORE-000289	LBORRES is not a continuous measurement or Empty, when LBORNRHI is not empty.	5
LB	CORE-000290	LBORRES is not a continuous measurement or Empty, when LBORNRLO is not empty.	3
8	CORE-000298	LBORRES is not a numeric result/empty and LBSTNRLO is not empty.	

#### • There is a need to

- develop a more user friendly platform
- include specific requirements





# The ideal validation dashboard

#### Input

- Easy upload of datasets for validation
- Option to validate datasets alone
- Quick selection of rules

#### • Output

- Optimal performance = output within minutes
- Secure environment for validation of unblinding dataset
- Different environments: test versus production
- Visually informative and self-explanatory
- Flag statuses, track changes, add comments
- Automated queries or reconciliation
- Statistics









# 5. Conclusions





### Conclusion



- Yes, we are on the right track!
  - CORE does meet the requirements for our dataset validation
  - We believe this dream team has great potential

#### To be continued...

- Implementation project in progress
- Perform gap analysis on current rule set and create new rules
- Implement this not only for unblinding data but for all data
- Further development of code (editor + engine) → discussions with CDISC needed based on our findings







### SGS team work

Marisa Wyckmans, Chris Fransen, Hannes De Bondt, Roman Radelicki

# **Thank You!**

#### **Contact us**

clinicalresearch@sgs.com

EUROPE: +32 15 27 32 45 AMERICAS: +1 877 677 2667

www.sgs.com/cro

health-nutrition@sgs.com www.sgs.com/healthnutrition



