



Development of USDM through translation of human-readable protocols

Jasmine Kestemont (Innovion) Stijn Rogiers (argenx)



Meet the Speakers

Jasmine Kestemont

Title: Managing Partner / Consultant Life Sciences

Organization: Innovion

Jasmine Kestemont is an entrepreneurial business leader with significant experience in both Sponsor and services organizations. After a few years of global work experience, she has returned to the area she is most passionate about, data and project management, with a special interest in data standardization and regulatory submissions. Jasmine is Head of Data Management at argenx.

Stijn Rogiers

Title: Head Data Integration & Standards, DM

Organization: argenx

Stijn joined argenx in June 2022 as Head Data Integrations and Standards (Data Management). Argenx is a fast-moving and growing Biotech company. Stijn has 20+ years of experience both at CRO, Pharma industry and Technology. He worked 5 years at SGS Life Sciences (CRO), 10 years within Janssen (Johnson & Johnson) and 7 years at SAS Institute (analytics leader) before moving to argenx. Stijn is also a member of the European CDISC Coordinating Committee (E3C).

LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/stijnrogiers



Disclaimer and Disclosures

• The views and opinions expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of CDISC.



Agenda

- 1. Background to the project
- 2. The Journey
- 3. The hurdles
- 4. The result



How did we get here?

Workshop CDISC

PHUSE EU Connect 2023 - DDF Workshop - PUBLIC - Wiki (cdisc.org)

Need to standardize protocols

ICH M11 guideline, clinical study protocol template and technical specifications - Scientific guideline | European Medicines Agency (europa.eu)

Explore new technology

Together We Discover

We know that leaps in progress will come from collaboration and incorporating innovation into every step. We embrace the power of the collective – together we are better.



Phuse EU Connect 2023 – DDF workshop (Birmingham UK)

COISC Wiki Spaces ~			Q Search	? Log in Sign up
	Pages / Welcome to CDISC WIKI			
	PHUSE EU Connect 2023 - DDF Wo	rkshop		
AGE TREE	Created by John Owen, last modified on Nov 28, 2023			
CDISC Wiki Terms of Use	Pre EU Connect 2023 Information 📋 27 Oct 2023	Listen to the preparation Webinar and review the preparation webinar slides		
ODM v2.0	Pre-Reads for EU Connect 2023	Pre-Reads (Materials to look at prior to the workshop if you wish to. NOT compulsory!)		
Introduction to Therapeutic Area Standards		A the time of the workshop this was version 2.5, since the workshop this now points to the latest versions of the USDM		
Dublis Pavinu Instructions		Model (UML)		
PHUSE US Connect 2023 - ARS Workshop		Controlled Terminology (XLSX) Implementation Guide (PDF)		
PHUSE EU Connect 2023 - DDF Workshop		Informative Diagram (PNG)		
HUSE US Connect 2024 - DDF Workshop		Miro Board (Web) (P: CDISC-DDF-SME) (or if you preter you can download a PDF of the Miroboard)		
U Interchange 2024 Digital Data Flow Worksl	Web tools	Web Tools (no need to install - these will run from a web browser)		
Wiki PDF Export will be disabled		Excel to JSON tool (U: PHUSE - P: learning_usdm) Excel to JSON Tool readme		
		Excel to JSON Tool Infographic JSON Comparison		
	Example files for EU Connect 2023 Workshop 🖄 05 Nov 2023	CDISC_Pilot_Study_Baseline.xlsx		
		Example Protocol		
	*	SoA Pagesipeg SoA ong		
	Slides from EU Connect 2023 workshop 📋 05 Nov 2023	Slides presented at the workshop on 👛 05 Nov 2023		
	CDISC DDF EU Connect 2023 workshop 📋 07 Nov 2023	2023 11 07 PHUSE Peter VR DS01 M11 - PHUSE EU Connect v0.5.pdf		
		2023 11 07 PHUSE DAVE IH DS02 V3.pdf		
	EU Connect 2023 Follow-up Webinar 📩 28 Nov 2023	Listen to the Webinar and review the webinar slides		
		Example files		
		Demography - Adding BCs Vital signs - Adding timeline (demo'd at the follow-up webinar)		
	Link to DDF Orientation page on the CDISC WIKI	Digital Data Flow (DDF) Team Home/Orientation (CDISC Wiki account required)		



ICH M11 guideline



O Search

Medicines - Human regulatory - Veterinary regulatory - Committees - News & events - Partners & networks - About us -

Home > ICH M11 guideline, clinical study protocol template and technical specifications - Scientific guideline

ICH M11 guideline, clinical study protocol template and technical specifications - Scientific guideline

< Share

Human) (Scientific guidelines)

Page contents Current version Related content Topics The purpose of this new harmonised <u>guideline</u> is to introduce the clinical protocol template and the technical specification to ensure that protocols are prepared in a consistent fashion and provided in a harmonised data exchange format acceptable to the regulatory authorities. The <u>ICH M11</u> Clinical Electronic Structured Harmonised Protocol Template provides comprehensive clinical protocol organization with standardized content with both required and optional components. The Technical Specification that are acceptable to all regulatory authorities of the <u>ICH</u> regions presents the conformance, cardinality, and other technical attributes that enable the interoperable electronic exchange of protocol content with a view to develop an open, non-proprietary standard to enable electronic exchange of clinical protocol information.

Keywords: protocol, harmonised template, interventional <u>clinical trials</u>, technical specification, data exchange, non proprietary standard





Initial ambition

- Historical protocols machine readable searchable library
 - Secondary goal: prove machine readable to human readable via existing protocol
 - Existing protocol \rightarrow USDM \rightarrow human readable
- Future protocols
- SoA :
 - Determine:

- cost of study (cost grid of assessments)
- duration of visit (patient feasibility)

• drive

- protocol consistency (quality and speed)
- CRF design
- · facilitate pooling of data
- issue tracking and risk mitigation

Agenda

- 1. Background to the project
- 2. The Journey
- 3. The hurdles
- 4. The result



The Journey

• Team members

SAS:	Jean-Charles Haillus	(Senior Project Manager, SAS),
	Koen Knapen	(Principal Analytical Consultant, SAS),
	Rens Feenstra	(Principal Technology Solution Consultant, SAS),
	Fadi Glor	(Senior Account Executive, SAS)

argenx:Jasmine Kestemont + Stijn Rogiers (see Bio)Sandeep Juneja(Clinical Solutions, DML, argenx)

- Semi-weekly meetings + sprint cycles
- Need for both technical expertise and content expertise Behind the scenes additional domain experts consulted (LLM, Python, ...)





Scope POC

- Do contextual information extraction from 2 clinical trial protocols (early and late phase) and store the relevant info into the USDM (excel) workbook ... in the right place (in the right field).
- Scalability: Make sure the contextual information extraction is generic enough such that the text model used works on as many protocols as possible (including those never seen during training).
- Avoid over-training (over-fitting).





Timelines

13 Mar 22 Feb Explore table First view on extractors deliverables 28 Mar 28 Feb Image extractor Call Dave IH issue resolution 6 Feb: Rethink scope KoM





USDM Content







Procedures, Biomedical Concepts

Focus for PoC

1. Study Overview (Text) Study & Study/dentifiers

2. Inclusion & Exclusion (Text) StudyDesignEligibilityCriteria

3. Study Objectives & Endpoints (Text) studyDesignOE

4. Investigational Plan:

4.1 Study Design (Text + Image) studyDesign

4.2 SoA (Table) mainTimeline

4.3 Arms (Text) studyDesignArms

2024 Europe CDISC+TMF Interchange | #ClearDataClearImpact

USDM Excel

								study Sheet
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
1	name	SCOPE1						
2	studyTitle	Simple Test 1						
3	studyVersion	1						
4	studyType	Interventional Study						
5	studyPhase	C15602						
6	studyAcronym	SIMPLE						
7	studyRationale	A simple test						
	businessTherapeuticAreas	SPONSOR: VAC=Vacines Group,						
8		SPONSOR: REG=Regulatory						
9	briefTitle	Something Brief						
10	officialTitle	Something Very Official						
11	publicTitle	Something Public						
12	scientificTitle	Somethign Clever But New						
13	protocolVersion	1						
14	protocolStatus	draft						
15								
16	category	name	description	label	type	date	scopes	
17	study_version	Approval	Design approval date	Design Approval	Sponsor Approval Date	01/01/2023	country : GBR, country:FRA, regio	n:ASIA, country :USA
18	protocol_document	Approval	Protocol document approval date	Protocol Approval	Protocol Effective Date	01/01/2023	Global	
19	protocol_document	Approval	Protocol document approval date	Protocol Approval	Protocol Effective Date	01/02/2023	region:asia	

USDM Excel Sheet Formats & Links Infographic

17th January 2024, USDM Package v0.43

Details the excel workbook format as used by the **USDM** python package.

Details of the package can be found at https://github.com/data4knowledge/usdm. Details for using the package and the sheet formats are detailed within the readme file within the repository.

studyIdentifiers Sheet

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	organisationIdentifierScheme	organisationIdentifier	organisationName	organisationType	studyIdentifier	organisationAddress
2	USGOV	CT-GOV	ClinicalTrials.gov	Study Registry	NCT12345678	line city district state postal_code GBR
3	DUNS	123456789	ACME Pharma	Clinical Study Sponsor	AP1234	Somewhere In a City In a District In a big state 12345 FRA

studyDesignEligibilityCriteria Sheet

1	A	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	category	identifier	name	description	label	text	dictionary
2	Inclusion	01	Age Criteria	The study age criterion		Subjects shall be between [min_age] and [max_age]	IE_Dict
3	Inclusion	02	Age Criteria Error	The study age criterion with	error	Subjects shall be between [min_age] and [max_agexxx]	IE_Dict



USDM Excel (Cont'd)

												studyDe	esignOE Sheet
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	1	к	L	М
1	objectiveName	objectiveDescription	objectiveLabel	objectiveText	objectiveLevel	objectiveDictionary	endpointName	endpointDescription	endpointLabel	endpointText	endpointPurpose	endpointLevel	endpointDictionary
2	OBJ1	Primary		The primary efficacy objective for this study is to evaluate the efficacy of TCZ compared with placebo in combination with SOC for the treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia	Study Primary Objective		END1	Day 28, 7 category scale		Clinical status assessed using a 7-category ordinal scale at Day 28	t	Primary Endpoint	
3	OBJ2	Secondary		The secondary efficacy objective for this study is to evaluate the efficacy of TCZ compared with placebo in combination with SOC for the treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia over the age of [min_age]	Study Secondary Objective	OE_Dict	END2	ττα		Time to clinical improvement (TTCI) defined as a National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2) of <=2 maintained for 24 hours		Secondary Endpoint	
4							END3	Time to Imporvement				studyDesig	gn Sheet
_								\	1.1	A B	C	D	F

studyDesignArms Sheet

dataOriginType

Data Generated Within Study

				studyDe	esignElements Sheet
(A	В	С	D	E
١	name	studyElementDescription	Label	transitionStartRule	transitionEndRule
١	EL1	Screening Element	Screening	Study Start	Screened
	EL2	Baseline Element	Baseline	Screened	Radomized
	BL3	Treatment Element 1	Treatment 1	Radomized	Completed treatment 1
l	EL4	Follow Up Element	Follow Up	Treated	Leave Study
	EL5	Treatment Element 2	Treatment 2	Radomized	Completed treatment 2

D

Active Comparator Arm

Placebo Comparator Arm

type

Е

dataOriginDescription

Data collected from subjects

Data collected from subjects

С

Active Substance

label

Placebo

				studyDes	sign Sheet						
16	A	В	с	D	E						
1	studyDesignName	Study Design 1									
2	studyDesignDescription	The main design for the study									
	therapeuticAreas	SPONSOR:T2_DIABETES=Type 2 diabetes, SNOMED: 73211009=Diabetes									
3		mellitus (disorder)									
4	studyDesignRationale	Basic study									
5	studyDesignBlindingScheme	OPEN LABEL									
6	trialIntentTypes	BASIC SCIENCE, D	EVICE FEASIBILITY	6							
7	trialTypes	Efficacy Study									
8	interventionModel	C82639									
9	mainTimeline	mainTimeline									
10	otherTimelines										
11				-							
12	Epoch/Arms	Screening	Baseline	Treatment	Follow-Up						
13	Active	EL1	EL2	EL, ELS	EL4						
4	Placebo	EL1	EL2	ELS, EL3	EL4						

en	erated Within S	tudy								
							_	timeli	ne name	She
	A	В	c	D	E	+	G	н	-	1
1	Name	Main Timeline	name	SCREEN	PRE DOSE	DOSE	D14	PROG	D28	FU
2	Description	This is the main timeline for the study design.	description	Screening	Pre Dose	Dosing	Day 14	Check Opt In	Day 28 Optional	Follow L
3	Condition	Potential subject identified	label	Screen	Baseline	Treatment	Day 14	Check opt In by subject	Day 28	Follow
4			type	Activity	Activity	Activity	Activity	Decision	Activity	Activity
5			default	PRE DOSE	DOSE	D14	PROG	D28	FU	(EXIT)
6			condition					FU: if opted out		
7			epoch	Screening	Baseline	Treatment	Treatment		Treatment	Follow-
8			encounter	E1	E2	E3	E4		E5	E6
9	Parent Activity	Child Activity	BC/Procedure/Timeline							
10		Demographics	BC:Age, BC:Sex, BC:Race, BC:Body Weight	x						
11		Procedures	PI: PR1 PR2	x	x	x	x			х
12		Optional Weight	BC:Weight	x			x			
13		Optional	BC:SYSBP, BC:DIABP						x	

00	ICO
	150

Α

name

Active

3 Placebo

В

description

Placebo

Active Substance

2024 Europe CDISC+TMFInterchange | #ClearDataClearImpact

.

POC approach (Cont'd)

- 1) Usage of LITI rules for contextual information extraction
 - LITI includes <u>concept rule</u> types as well as <u>fact rule</u> types.
 - LITI is proprietary syntax from SAS.
 - LITI = Language Interpretation for Textual Information
- 2) Usage of LLM (RAG-for-LLMs) for contextual information extraction RAG = Retrieval-Augmented Generation
- 3) PDF Table Extractor(s)
- 4) PDF Image Extractor(s)
- 5) Load from SAS tables into Excel and Word in an automated way while retaining the link i.e. if the info in the SAS table changes, then you can just refresh the *.xlsx or *.docx file to see that new info reflected.



The environment: SAS Viya + Open Source



Comparison of 2 ways for information retrieval/contextual extraction

LITI – rules (SAS VTA)

 It's "Regular Expressions on steroids". 	It's generative AI.
 Knowledge (and effort) required to write linguistic rules with correct syntax. 	 Knowledge required to do proper prompt engineering (designing the user query). But much less effort required. It's
 Some patterns are hard to come by (because they are complicated, non-standard, with a lot of variety in the language). 	 If the context around a particular topic can vary widely from document to document, there is a clear advantage.
 You need some preliminary knowledge on the topic at hand and on what you want to catch! 	• It's generative AI, so some of the info returned can be made up (hallucination).
 Rules are easily overfit (too specific) if n° of training docs is low. 	 Implementing offline models (internalization) and maintaining them is labor-intensive.
 Library of LITI-rules can / should grow very big to guarantee a high hit rate. 	 Heavy and intensive in terms of resource usage (even for one or a few documents). Often, several GPUs are needed, and
• Results are "proven", non-debatable (there's a clear match in the text).	 Scaling (expand the scope) is easier here.
 Relatively light in terms of resource usage (and cost). 	 page breaks and headers and footers are no gift.
 page breaks and headers and footers are no gift. 	 special and non-printable characters pose no problem.

RAG – LLM (offline model)

one

special and non-printable characters pose no problem.

cdisc

Example of challenges LITI/LLM

Legend : perfect > 75 % hit rate between 60 % and 75 % hit rate < 60% hit rate

		INC	LUSION CRITERI	A	EXCLUSION CRITERIA			
Documents (clinical trial protocols)	document partitioning (training/ validation/test)	Number	Number Captured with LITI	Number Captured with LLM	Number	Number Captured with LITI	Number Captured with LLM	
Protocol - 13 Feb 2020.pdf	TRAINING	15	15	11	22	22	5	
Protocol 22Jul2020.pdf	TEST	7	5	0	20	8	34	
EliLilly NCT03421379 Diabetes.pdf		10	7	1	26	18	0	
Roche_NCT04320615_COVID.pdf		7	4	0	12	5	0	
CDISC_Pilot_Study.pdf		8	3	0	23	5	0	



Overcome challenges (LITI / LLM)

- Pre-processing steps
 - $\,\circ\,$ Introduced to support both LITI and LLM
 - $\,\circ\,$ Divide the document into ToC sections !!
- Post-processing steps • LITI rules - too specific to scale



Python packages explored for splitting protocols into chunks



- Table of Contents was extracted in a (structured) *. JSON file.
- JSON file was imported in SAS table ... containing the ToC
- Protocol was then split in chunks with SAS-code (SAS code is automatically and dynamically generated completely driven by the ToC-table)



ToC (Result)

oc		Table rows: 113	Columns: 3 of 3 Rows 1 to 113 〒 ↑ ↓ 🛓 🗘 •			
7 Ente	er expression		\$			
	l toc	# page				
20	[3, '6.2.1. Primary Endpoint', 19]	19	6.2.1. Primary Endpoint			
21	[3, '6.2.2. Secondary Endpoints', 19]	19	6.2.2. Secondary Endpoints			
22	[1, '7. Investigational Plan', 20]	20	7. Investigational Plan			
23	[2, '7.1. Overall Study Design', 20]	20	7.1. Overall Study Design			
24	[2, '7.2. Number of Subjects', 20]	20	7.2. Number of Subjects			
25	[2, '7.3. Treatment Assignment', 20]	20	7.3. Treatment Assignment			
26	[2, '7.4. Dose Adjustment Criteria', 21]	21	7.4. Dose Adjustment Criteria			
27	[2, '7.5. Criteria for Termination of Study', 21]	21	7.5. Criteria for Termination of Study			
28	[1, '8. Selection and Withdrawal of subjects', 27]	27	8. Selection and Withdrawal of subjects			
29	[2, '8.1. Inclusion Criteria', 27]	27	8.1. Inclusion Criteria			
30	[2, '8.2. Exclusion Criteria', 28]	28	8.2. Exclusion Criteria			
31	[2, '8.3. Subject Withdrawal Criteria', 30]	30	8.3. Subject Withdrawal Criteria			
32	[1, '9. Treatment of Subjects', 31]	31	9. Treatment of Subjects			
33	[2, '9.1. Description of IMP', 31]	31	9.1. Description of IMP			
34	[2, '9.2. Restrictions', 31]	31	9.2. Restrictions			
35	[3, '9.2.1. Concomitant Medication/Procedure(s)', 31]	31	9.2.1. Concomitant Medication/Procedure(s)			
36	[3, '9.2.2. Alcohol', 32]	32	9.2.2. Alcohol			
37	[3, '9.2.3. Physical Activities', 32]	32	9.2.3. Physical Activities			
38	[3, '9.2.4. Dietary Aspects', 32]	32	9.2.4. Dietary Aspects			
39	[3, '9.2.5. Smoking', 32]	32	9.2.5. Smoking			



Process diagram for contextual extraction with LITI rules







Result after pre-/processing

Legend : perfect > 75 % hit rate between 60 % and 75 % hit rate < 60% hit rate

			INCLUSION CRITER	RIA	EXCLUSION CRITERIA					
Documents (clinical trial protocols)	document partitioning (training/ validation/test)	Number	Number Captured with Base SAS	Number Captured with LLM	Number	Number Captured with Base SAS	Number Captured with LLM			
Protocol - 13 Feb 2020.pdf	TRAINING	15	15	12*	22	22	19			
Protocol_22Jul2020.pdf	TEST	7	7	6	20	20	34*			
EliLilly NCT03421379 Diabetes.pdf		10	10	10	26	26	26			
Roche NCT04320615 COVID.pdf		7	7	7	12	12	12			
CDISC Pilot Study.pdf		8	8	8	23	23	23			

* - double entries and missing entries



Process diagram for contextual extraction with RAG-LLM



Variations in prompts, embeddings, models, tokenizers and questions !



Answer

RAG Pipeline



2024 Europe CDISC+TMF Interchange | #ClearDataClearImpact

User query Prompt Engineering

LLAMA-V2 7B model

Source: Information extraction with LLM | Chetan Khadke | Medium | Medium



GPU power required for LLMs

NVID	IA-SMI	535.54.0	3	D	river	Version: 535.54.03	CUDA Versi	on: 12.2								
GPU Fan	Name Temp	Perf	Persist Pwr:Usa	en ige	ce-M /Cap	Bus-Id Disp.A Memory-Usage 	Volatile GPU-Util 	Uncorr. ECC Compute M. MIG M.								
0 N/A	Tesla 3 <mark>4</mark> 0	T4 P0	2 0 W	/	0n 70W	/ 00000001:00:00.0 Off 4401MiB / 16384MiB 	+======== 1% 	Off Default N/A	Size	vCPU	Memory:	Temp storage	GPU	GPU	Max	Max NICs / Expected
1 N/A	Tesla	Т4 РА	 39w	,	0n 70W	+	+ 	Off Default			GiB	(SSD) GiB		memory: GiB	data disks	network bandwidth (Mbps)
								N/A	Standard_NC4as_T4_v3	4	28	180	1	16	8	2 / 8000
2	Tesla	T4	 ອ ກ ພ	,	0n 70W	00000003:00:00.0 Off		Off Default	Standard_NC8as_T4_v3	8	56	352	1	16	16	4 / 8000
	540	- FO						N/A	Standard_NC16as_T4_v3	16	110	352	16	16	32	8 / 8000
3	Tesla	T4			0n	00000004:00:00.0 Off	+ 	off	Standard_NC64as_T4_v3	64	440	2880	4	64	32	8 / 32000
N/A	35C	PO	<u>32</u> W	/	70W	3651MiB / 16384MiB 	0%	Default N/A								
						+	+									
Proc	esses:															
GPU	GI	CI	PID Type		Proce	ss name		GPU Memory								



Python packages explored for table and image extraction

- tabula-py==2.9.0 : not good enough to capture SoA
- camelot-py==0.11.0 : not good enough to capture SoA
- pdfminer.six==20231228 : not held back
- PyMuPDF==1.23.26 :
- PyPDF2 (for image extraction) was not tested
- PaddleOCR currently under exploration

Note: The AZURE service «Optical Character Recognition (OCR) - Azure AI Document Intelligence Table Extraction» was not (yet) tested.



Agenda

- 1. Background to the project
- 2. The Journey
- 3. The hurdles
- 4. The result

Image extraction



pix1.samples

(image without

transparency)

pix2.samples

(stencil mask)

pix.samples

(final image, incl.

transparency)

cdisc

........

........

.......

......

......

....

.....

.......

....

........

........

.........

.....

....

Image extraction





....

.....

· · · · · · · · ·

Imaginary, but not really imaginary data

........

.......

.....

....

.......

........

{'What are the inclusion criteria?': llama The inclusion criteria are: {'What are the inclusi Subjects must be ≥18 years of age. The inclusion criteria 1. Adults (18 years of 2. Subjects must have a diagnosis of moderate to severe active RA. 2. A body surface 4. A BSA involvemen { 'What is the Primary Objective?': ' protein 5. A BSA involvemer Primary Objective: A BSA involveme: ### Explanation: protein 7. A BSA involveme The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). A BSA involvement ### Hints: protein 9. A BSA involveme 1. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). A BSA involvem 11. A BSA involvem/2. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). protein 12. A BSA involvem 3. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 13. A BSA involvem 4. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 14. A BSA involvem 5. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). >> 6. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 7. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 8. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 9. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). e5base ('What are the inc 10. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). The inclusion crit 11. The Primarv Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). 1. Subjects must b 12. The Primary Objective is to evaluate the safety and efficacy of efgartigimod in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG). Subjects must have 13. The Primary Objective is'} 3. Subjects must h 4. Subjects must h 5. Subjects must h 6. Subjects must h. {'What are the Secondary Endpoints?': 'Secondary Endpoints: 7. Subjects must h 1. 12-week change in the number of active ulcers (defined as ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm) 8. Subjects must h 2. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm 9. Subjects must h3. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the foot 10. Subjects must 4. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of ≥ 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the ankle 11. Subjects must 5. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the toe 12. Subjects must 6. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the heel 13. Subjects must 7. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the shin 14. Subjects must 15. Subjects must |8|, 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the calf 16. Subjects must 9. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the thigh 17. Subjects must 10. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the knee 18. Subjects must 11. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of ≥ 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the leg 19. Subjects must 12. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the hip 20. Subjects must 13. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq 5 mm and a baseline ulcer location of the buttock 14. 12-week change in the number of ulcers with a baseline diameter of \geq' >>> 31

Table Issues

20. APPENDICES

..... ENDIX 1: SAFETY LABORATORY ASSESSMENTS

Table 6: Screening Laboratory Assessments

Laboratory Assessments	Parameters	
Tests completed at screening only	Virology: HIV test, hepatitis B surface antigen, total hepatitis B core antibody, hepatitis B surface antibody, hepatitis C virus antibody (see Appendix 2) FSH in post-menopausal women (see Appendix 5) Total IgG	

FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; HIV=human immunodeficiency virus

Table 7: Hematology, Clinical Chemistry, Urinalysis

Laboratory Assessments										
Hematology	Platelet Cou	nt	RBC	Indices:	White blood cell (WBC)					
	Red blood c Count	ell (RBC)	• M	lean corpuscular plume (MCV)	count with differential (% and absolute numbers):					
	Hemoglobin	1	• M	lean corpuscular	Neutrop Lymph	ocvtes				
	Hematocrit		• M he cc (N • %	lean corpuscular emoglobin oncentration MCHC) sreticulocytes	MonocytesEosinophilsBasophils					
Clinical Chemistry ^a	Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)	Potassium		Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)	Total and direct bilirubin	Cholesterol (total) Low-density				
	Creatinine	Sodium		Alanine	Total	lipoprotein				
	Glucose (fasting)	Alkaline phosphatase	(ALT)		Protein	(LDL) High-density lipoprotein				
	Calcium	Lactate dehydrogena	ise	transferase (GGT)	Albumin	(HDL) Triglycerides				
	creatine kinase (CK)b; CK myocardial band (CKMB)									
	Internationa	l Normalized H	Ratio							
Routine	Specific gravity									

PAGE_56_TABLE_1

Laboratory Col2 Parameters

Assessments

2 Tests completed at screening only

Obs Col0

 Virology: HIV test, hepatitis B surface antigen, total hepatitis B core antibody, hepatitis B surface antibody, hepatitis C virus antibody (see Appendix 2) • FSH in post-menopausal women (see Appendix 5) • Total IgG

Obs	col0	Laboratory	col2	Parameters	Parameters_1	Parameters_2	Parameters_3	Parameters_4	Parameters_5	Parameters_6
1	Parameters	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments	Assessments
2	Hematology	Hematology	Hematology	Platelet Count	Platelet Count	RBC Indices: • Mean corpuscular volume (MCV) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) •	RBC Indices: • Mean corpuscular volume (MCV) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCHC) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) • Sireticulocytes	RBC Indices: • Mean corpuscular volume (MCV) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) • Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) •	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential (% and absolute numbers): • Neutrophils • Lymphocytes • Monocytes • Eosinophils • Basophils	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential (% and absolute numbers): • Neutrophils • Lymphocytes • Monocytes • Eosimophils • Basophils
3	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential (% and absolute numbers): Neutrophils Monocytes Bosinophils Basophils	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential (% and absolute numbers):- Neutrophils - Lymphocytes - Manocytes - Eosinophils - Basoohils	White blood cell (WBC) count with differential (% and absolute numbers): • Neutrophils • Lymphocytes • Bosinophils • Basophils	Red blood cell (RBC) (Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count
4	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Red blood cell (RBC) Count	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin
5	Hemoglobin	Hemoglobin	Hernoglobin	Hematocrit	Hematocrit	Hematocrit	Hematocrit	Hematocrit	Hernatocrit	Hematocrit
6	Clinical Chemistrya	Clinical Chemistrya	Clinical Chemistrya	Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)	Potassium	Potassium	Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) Gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT)	Total and direct bilinubin	Total and direct bilirubin	Cholesterol (total) Low- density lipoprotein (LDL) High- density lipoprotein (HDL) Triplycerides
7	Cholesterol	Cholesterol	Cholesterol	Creatinine	Sodium	Sodium	Sodium	Total Protein	Total Protein	Total Protein



Realizing USDM is more than a protocol template model





........

And Realizing that a process is still needed together with USDM





..........

.......

N

Agenda

- 1. Background to the project
- 2. The Journey
- 3. The hurdles
- 4. The result

End Result (SoA)

								PAGE_23_TABLE_1								
							8	Enter	expression							
							1			💩 Screen inga	⊘ -1	lacktrian Interaction				
Clinical Study Protoco	1						1	1	Study Day	-28 to -2	-28 to -2	1	2			
	•						1	2	Informed consent	х						
Table 3: Schedu	le of Ass	essments					3	3	In-/exclusion criteria	х	х	Xc				
Study Period	Screen		,		Tre	atment	201	4	Virology screen	x						
Study Period	inga			Ireatiner			e .	5	Medical history	x						
Study Day	-28	-1	1	2	7	8	14	,	medical history							
	to -2						6	0	Demographic data	X						
Informed consent	x						1	7	Pregnancy testd		х					
In-/exclusion criteria	X	х	Xe				_ ,	R	Urine drug screen &	×	×					
Virology screen	X						Ì	0	alcohol urine teste	<u>^</u>	^					
Medical history	x						9	9	Height	×						
Demographic data	x							10	Physical examination	×	×					
Pregnancy test ⁴		x					_	10	Physical examination	^	^					
Urine drug screen & alcohol urine test ^e	x	x					1	11	Weightf (+ BMI)g	Xf,g	Xf					
Height	x							12	Vital signsh	X	Х	Xh				
Physical examination	X	x						13	Triplicate 12-lead ECGi	×	х					
Weight ^f (+ BMI) ^g	Xís	Xf			Xf		x	14	Urinalysise	×	X					
Vital signs ^h	x	х	Xh			Xh										
Triplicate 12-lead ECG ⁱ	x	х						15	Clinical laboratory testsj	X	X					
Urinalysis*	X	X							Blood sampling:		Xk	XI				
Clinical laboratory tests ^j	X	x				Xc		16	PKI PDm		Xk	XI				
Blood sampling:									• ADAn		Xk	Xc				
 PK¹ 		Xk	X ¹			X ¹		17	Randomization			Xc				
• PD ^m		Xk	X ⁱ		Ť	X ¹	_		Nanoomization							
• ADA=		Xk	Xe					18	IMP administrationo			Х				
Randomization			Xe					19	Ambulant visits	X						
IMP administration ^o			X			X										
Ambulant visits	X									X X	XX	X				



From USDM to Protocol

File Home	e Insert Layout References Review View Help SAS (engage)	Comments	ip 🖉 Editing 🗸 🖻 Share
Home SAS (engage)	Learn Help More Help			
	Glucagon (LY900018)		SAS	
	Eli Lilly Japan K.K			
	Japan		Home Reports Results	
	26 October 2017		Eli_Lilly_CDISC_Protocol_ObjectivesEndpoints	× G
	6. STUDY OBJECTIVES AND ENDPOINTS 6.1. Objectives		1 a ObjectivesPrimary 1 b ObjectivesSecondary 1 c Objectives	Exploratory 2 a En
	6.1.1. Primary Objective		1.a. Objectives finnary 1.b. Objectives econdary 1.c. Objectives	Exploratory 2.a. Ell
	•		Match Text	Fact Rule
	6.1.2. Secondary Objectives •		To demonstrate that 3 mg LY900018 is non-inferior to 1 mg IMG for the proportion of patients achieving treatment success from insulin-induced hypoglycemia using a non-inferiority margin of 10%	1.a. ObjectivesPrimar
ſ	Fact Rule = ' <u>1.b. ObjectivesSecondary</u> '	Fact Rule	* To compare the safety and tolerability of 3 mg LY900018 with 1 mg IMG * To characterize the PK profile of 3 mg LY900018 compared to 1 mg IMG * To characterize the PD profile of 3 mg LY900018 compared to 1 mg IMG	1.b. ObjectivesSecon
	* To compare the safety and tolerability of 3 mg LY900018 with 1 mg IMG * To characterize the PK profile of 3 mg LY900018 compared to 1 mg IMG * To characterize the PD profile of 3 mg	1.b. ObjectivesSecond ary	 * Explore the formation of anti-glucagon antibodies to glucagon * To evaluate the recovery from clinical symptoms of hypoglycemia 	1.c. ObjectivesExplor
\$	LY900018 compared to 1 mg IMG		The proportion of patients achieving treatment success defined × 0 mg/dL or an increase of >20 ↓ Eii_Lilly_CDISC_Protocol_ObjectivesEndpoints has been loaded.	2.a. EndpointsPriman





Conclusion

• From human to machine - challenging, but not impossible



Next steps

- Short term (Q2/Q3)
 - Leverage Open AI service (commercial models) instead of LLAMA-V27B model as prep finalization internal business case.
- Mid term (End 2024 begin 2025)
 - focus on CTPS (concept sheet)

Longer term - protocol builds

- Cost
- $\circ\,$ Technology (no off-the shelf available yet?), we are biotech $\ldots\,$ not software builders
- o Change management
- $\circ\,$ New roles





Interested in cont'd progress ?

SAS Innovate, Rotterdam, Tuesday June 11, 2024

PHUSE EU Connect, Strasbourg (if accepted ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾) 10-13 Nov, 2024



Thank You!

