

## **CDISC RWD Activities Update**

Rhonda Facile, Vice President, Partnerships and Development



## **Meet the Speaker**

Rhonda Facile, MS

Title: VP, Partnerships and Development Organization: CDISC

Rhonda Facile is Vice President, Partnerships and Development at CDISC where she oversees business development and new project development. She brings together, key and diverse stakeholder communities to establish effective collaboration structures to ensure project success. At CDISC Rhonda has led numerous standards development projects and initiatives including CDASH, therapeutic area guides and more recently CDISC RWD Connect. Prior to joining CDISC, Rhonda worked in clinical operations and regulatory affairs in Pharmaceutical, Biotechnology, and Contract Research Organizations in the US and Europe.

## **CDISC RWD Background**

# **Blue Ribbon Commission Recommendations**

- CDISC standards are growing in use-cases beyond the original regulatory approvals use case
- The most **important use case** for CDISC to support is standardization of:
  - Academic research
  - Observational research
  - Patient-reported outcomes
  - EHR data the largest source of clinical data
- Areas of Focus:
  - User specific education
  - Visual, web-based, natural-language search
  - Success stories and case studies publication
  - Accessible training
  - Expand membership to new groups
  - Leverage the data sharing movement



https://www.cdisc.org/system/files/about/brc/2018-2019 Blue Ribbon Commission Insights.pdf



# **CDISC RWD Connect Delphi**

### **Recommendations:**

• Standardization of RWD is **necessary**. The primary focus should be on **improving data sharing and quality**.

### **Priorities:**

- Electronic health records, such as data shared using HL7-FHIR and data stemming from observational studies, wearables and patient-reported outcomes.
- With different standardization efforts already underway in these areas a gap analysis should be performed to **identify the areas where synergies and efficiencies are possible**, e.g., extension of SDTM for RWD
- Collaborate with stakeholders to create or extend existing mappings between CDISC and other standards, controlled terminologies, and models to represent data originating across different sources
- JMIR Med Inform 2021;9(11):e30363) doi: 10.2196/30363

| cdisc RWD Connect  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cognal Paper Use of Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Standards for Real-world Data: Expert Perspectives From a Qualitative Delphi Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| na za naz  | Samo", SMD, PLD, Sam Haner, "UPC: Frank Rockboll", PLD Weipen Bar", PLD Sam Defenant', SAU, Barbane<br>Samo", SMD, PLD, Sam Haner, "UPC: Frank Rockboll", PLD Weipen Bar", PLD Sam Defenant', SAU, Barbane<br>Samot Samot Company, Calabane sump. Ro-Rock Sci Usara Samot<br>Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot Samot<br>Samot Samot Samot<br>Samot Samot |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. The Contract Continuation of Contract Contrac           |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abstract Background, Abstract Markan, San and Andread Parkers (MVE) are please messaring by separate release a classed with a data back and a classed and an an and a separate relation (MVE). Lead have a data backed and an and with a data back and a separate background and and a separate relation (MVE). The separate relation (MVE) are provided by the separate background and and and and and and and and and a  |  |  |  |  |  |



# **RWD** Regulatory Environment

China's NMPA **US FDA** EU EMA Japan PMDA FDA U.S. FOOD & DRUG Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA) TMOO 独立行政法人 医鞭反医療機器能合種構 新聞な言: 新聞のくつき工作改成の事物で使いる新聞正文 EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY Utilization of Real World Data 关于公开征求《真实世界证据支持药物研发的基本考虑》意见的通知 FRAMEWORK FOR FDA'S SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTI 東本日間: 2019002 **REAL-WORLD** - PMDA's approaches -为落实国务院《关于改革药品医疗器械审评审批制度的意见》(国发(2015)44号)以及中共中央办公厅、国务院办公 行印发的《关于深化审评审批制度改革鼓励药品医疗器械创新的意见》(厅字(2017)42号) 23rd March, 2021 考虑到药物临床研发过程中,存在临床试验不可行或难以实施等情形,利用真实世界证据用以评价药 Exploring and promoting the use of high-为汉臣的一种管察和国际 为了促进各方对真实世界证据的理解、探讨其在药物研发中的应用场景、探究其评价原则、经广 Health-related data are gathered and accumulated in the clinical practice day by day. 组织起草了《真实世界证据支持药物研发的基本考虑(征求意见稿)》。 quality RWD in decision-making as a These data are called Real World Data (RWD), and they include electronic health record. 我们诚挚地欢迎社会各界时征来意见联接出宝贵意见和建议,并及时反馈给我们,以便后续完善。 claims data, patient registry data, etc. RWD still provide valuable information related to 有之日起3个月。 the outcomes of using medical products, while RWD are not obtained in the same manner 他的反使意见遗发到以下群系人的邮箱 strategic goal as well-designed clinical trials conducted to evaluate medical products. 日本人: お除 本田田 联系方式: zhaojun@ode.org.on, gaol@ode.org.on At PMDA, we have already had some experiences of utilizing such existing data for 摄建型的参与和大力支持。 evaluating benefit-risk balance in the regulatory process. For example, in the case of (真实世界证据支持药物研发的基本考虑(征求意见能))中交版.doox tacrolimus, RWD was utilized in its approval for an indication supplement of initial Key Considerations in Using Real-World Evidence to Support Drug Development(Draft for Public Review) door treatment for interstitial pneumonia associated with polymyositis/dermatomyositis. The indication was approved in 2013. Not only above case, but RWD has been utilized in (書堂世界正規市林正教研究的基本考示 (正常常) () 经登记税 () some of new drug applications so far. Although the PMDA has been making good use of RWD, it applied a case-by-case basis approach until recently. It might not be widely known RWD can be utilized for regulatory submission. In order to promote RWD utilization further by product MM: OR 23588538381298 #66: 10002 11年: M10-68585566 作業: M10-68564189 各業専号: 市に2-長の013725号 developers, the PMDA has recently developed and finalized two guidelines below: December 2018 https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/document http://www.cde.org.cn/news.do?method=I https://www.fda.gov/media/120060/do https://www.pmda.go.ip/english/abouts/regulatory-procedural-guideline/emaargeInfo&id=23a2b4cbe0807fe2 wnload pmda/0004.pdf regulatory-science-2025-strategic-

reflection en.pdf

.....

## Agenda

- 1. CDISC RWD Background
- 2. CDISC RWD Strategy
- 3. CDISC RWD Activities and Resources
- 4. Q&A

# **CDISC's RWD Strategy**

- Expansion of CDISC Standards to **address multiple modalities of data capture**, exchange, processing, analysis and reporting
- Collaborate, partner and harmonize with other industry standards to enable an efficient pathway for RWD to be transformed for ultimate use cases, such as data sharing; regulatory submissions; exploratory analysis and incorporation into clinical research trials
- Enable the **development and use of open-source solutions** that utilize standards to collect, exchange, process, transform and analyze clinical data
- Partner with technology providers to embed CDISC standards within the most commonly-used formats and platforms to provide machine-ready forms of the standards for use
- Develop, release and govern standards validation rules and an open-source conformance engine for verification of the integrity and completeness of data for use
- Provide the industry with training and education on the use and importance of standards in the RWD ecosystem
- Support and Facilitate the use of RWD by Regulatory Agencies and the development of the tools necessary for proper, efficient data transformations and metadata-rich data exchange



## **Real World Data**





........

....

....

.........

......

..........



CDISC Standards specify <u>how</u> to structure data to support efficient data sharing for regulated clinical trials

CDISC Standards <u>**Do Not**</u> specify what data should be collected or how to conduct clinical trial protocols, assessments or endpoints.



## **RWD** Activities and Resources

## **CDISC Real World Data Resources**





## **CDISC BASIC – Why?**

Shared data is hard to use if it is not in standard format

CDISC Standards were developed specifically for clinical research

## Barriers to adopting CDISC standards

- Overwhelming (sheer volume)
- Siloed (separate standards for collection, tabulation, analysis, metadata)
- Originally written for those who worked with data in the pharmaceutical industry full time



## CDISC Basic The Aim - Lower Barriers to Using CDISC in Settings Outside Regulated Research



Reduce volume by concentrating on most common data

Present collection and tabulation in an integrated manner



Write for an audience new to CDISC and less immersed in data handling.

e.g., Academic and observational research, Registries, EHR data



Link to other resources

REDCap and OpenClinica CRFs

CDISC resources such as

- •eCRF Portal
- Knowledge Base
- •Free educational courses and webinars Specific CDISC Standards



## **CDISC Basic Contents**

- Domains in Focus:
- Demographics, Subject Characteristics
- Medical History, Adverse Events, and Clinical Events
- Exposure(study treatment) and Concomitant Medications
- Vital Signs, Laboratory Test Results, Questionnaires, and Reproductive System Findings
- Procedures and Healthcare
   Encounters
- Inclusion/Exclusion, Product Accountability and Disposition

# Graphics, such as concept maps



### Integrated tables of CDASH and SDTM variables

| -                      |                       |                                  |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| CDASH<br>Variable Name | SDTM Variable<br>Name | Variable Label                   | Does a "Basic" study need this variable?   |
| STUDYID                | STUDYID               | Study Identifier                 | Yes – a value that will be the same for all observations in the study $% \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{s}}$   |
|                        | DOMAIN                | Domain Abbreviation              | Yes - marks this dataset as demographics   |
| SUBJID                 | SUBJID                | Subject Identifier for the Study | Yes - provides traceability to subject identifier used for the study.  |
|                        | USUBJID               | Unique Subject<br>Identifier     | Yes – links subjects in the demographics data to subject data in other domains   |
| BRTHDAT                |                       | Birth Date                       | Yes, if possible. Privacy rules may limit the precision of date<br>of birth collection. Collection of age may be an alternative if<br>there is a clear understanding of the time at which AGE is<br>collected. |
| BIRTHTIM               |                       | Birth Time                       | Unlikely, except perhaps in neonate studies.   |
|                        | BRTHDTC               | Date/Time of Birth               | Yes, if possible. Privacy rules may limit the precision of date<br>of birth collection. Collection of age may be an alternative if<br>there is a clear understanding of the time at which AGE is<br>collected. |

## Links to Existing CDISC Standards and Other Resources

- Controlled Terminology
- Questionnaires, Ratings, and Scales (QRS) Supplements
- Free CDISC Education Courses
- Public Webinars
- Knowledge Base Articles
- Examples Library
- eCRF Portal
- CDISC Library



## **CDISC Basic – Plan**



16

# **HL7–FHIR to CDISC Mapping**

- Aim provide a pathway for going from extracted EHR data to SDTM format
- Joint effort between CDISC and HL7
  - Balloted by both SDOs
- Domains mapped:
  - Events: AE, MH
  - Interventions: PR, CM
  - Findings: LB, VS, Lab Model
  - Special Purpose: DM
- Published 1 Sep 2021



#### .......

### **Title: Concomitant Medications**

Indicate if the subject took any concomitant medications/treatments. If Yes, include the appropriate details where indicated on the CRF.

> If collected on the CRF, sponsor may insert instructions to ensure each record has a unique identifier.

Record only one treatment per line. Provide the full trade or proprietary name of the medication/treatment; otherwise, record the generic name .

Record the reason the medication was taken based on clinical investigator's evaluation. If taken to treat a condition, and a diagnosis was made, the indication should be the diagnosis. If taken to treat a condition, and no diagnosis was made, the indication should be the signs and symptoms. If taken as prophylaxis, report as "Prophylaxis for " and include a description of the condition(s).

Record the dose of medication/treatment per administration (e.g., 200).

Record the dose unit of the dose of concomitant medication/treatment taken (e.g., mg).

Record the pharmaceutical dosage form (e.g., TABLET CAPSULE, SYRUP) of delivery for the concomitant [medication/treatment/therapy] taken.

Record how often the medication was taken (e.g., BID, PRN).

Provide the route of administration for the medication.



.....

Home / Standards / Real World Data / FHIR to CDISC Joint Mapping Implementation Guide v1.0

### FHIR to CDISC Joint Mapping Implementation Guide v1.0

View Edit Delete Clone

### Release Date: 01 September 2021

Version 1.0 of the FHIR to CDISC Joint Mapping Implementation Guide defines mappings between FHIR release 4.0, HL7's standard for exchanging healthcare information electronically and three CDISC Standards: CDASHIG v2.1, SDTMIG v3.2, and LAB v1.0.1 to streamline the flow of data from electronic health records (EHRs) to CDISC submission-ready datasets.

- FHIR to CDISC Mapping Implementation Guide A spreadsheet of the FHIR to CDISC mappings with domain tabs and details from FHIR to CDASH to SDTM.
- FHIR to CDISC Mapping Implementation Guide Public Review Comments\*
- FHIR to CDISC Mapping Implementation Guide in XML Format

### Additional RWD Resources

- LOINC to LB Mapping File is an additional resource for capturing real-world data. Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes (LOINC<sup>®</sup>) terminology includes laboratory
  and clinical observations used in healthcare systems around the globe.
- Unit-UCUM Codetable provides mapping to toggle between UCUM and CDISC Units. Unified Code for Units of Measure (UCUM) contains a blueprint for the creation of
  compliant units of measure from more than 300 terminal unit symbols. UCUM is used in healthcare to populate electronic health records, such as laboratory records in LOINC,
  and in the ISO IDMP standard.

By making it easier to convert data between HL7 FHIR (commonly used in clinical systems to collect and share healthcare data) and CDISC standards, both organizations aim to reduce the barriers to using clinical information to support research.

### **HL7 FHIR Resources**

In FHIR, implementation guides are a set of rules of how a particular interoperability or standards problem is solved through the use of FHIR resources. The FHIR to CDISC Joint Mapping Implementation Guide (IG) v1.0 is also posted to the HL7 website and provides the same content in a format similar to other FHIR implementation guides.

\* CDISC posts Public Review comments and resolutions to ensure transparency and show implementers how comments were addressed in the standard development process.





FHIR to CDISC Joint Mapping Implementation Guide 1.0.0 - STU 1



IG Home Table of Contents Mapping Overview Mapping Caveats Mappings - Support -

#### Table of Contents > IG Home Page

This page is part of the CDISC Mapping FHIR IG (v1.0.0: STUG 1) based on FHIR R4G. This is the current published version in its permanent home (it will always be available at this URL). For a full list of available versions, see the Directory of published versions gtd

### IG Home Page

### 1.0.1 Introduction

CDISC & defines a number of standards that support the capture and sharing of information related to research and clinical trials. FHIR & is an HL7 & standard for the capturing and sharing of healthcare information for a wide variety of purposes. This implementation guide, a joint effort of CDISC and HL7 defines mappings between FHIR release 4.0 & and three specific CDISC standards:

IntroductionContentCredits

Contents:

- Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide (SDTMIG) 3.2 🗗
- Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization Implementation Guide (CDASH) 2.1 🗗

#### • LAB 1.0.1 🗗

......

.......

By making it easier to convert data between HL7 FHIR (commonly used in clinical systems to collect and share healthcare data) and CDISC standards (commonly used to submit clinical trial data for analysis and regulatory approval), both organizations aim to reduce the barriers to using clinical information to support research. Possible uses include:

- Capturing 'real world evidence' (RWE) where clinical data not directly captured for clinical trial purposes can be used to support regulatory applications.
- Allowing trial-driven data capture to occur directly inside clinical systems rather than separate clinical trial management solutions, leveraging technologies like SMART on FHIR C. This is sometimes referred to as e-sourced data.
- Making it easier to leverage clinical data in retrospective studies.
- · Supporting the creation of case report forms (CRFs) that link to data elements defined using FHIR resources and profiles.
- . Enabling experts from both standards communities to understand each others terms and better align both sets of specifications as they continue to evolve.

As indicated by the use-cases, this guide will principally be used to support conversion of FHIR data into CDISC standards. The focus is on identifying which FHIR locations are most likely to have data needed to populate the in-scope CDISC specifications. However, the mapping information provided could also be used to generate FHIR instances from existing collections of CDISC data if there was a desire to do that.

### 1.0.2 Content

This implementation guide is purely a 'descriptive' guide. It does not (currently) define any FHIR profiles, value sets or other artifacts. Instead, it provides mapping tables that show the mappings between elements in portions of selected CDISC specifications map to FHIR. This content is organized as follows:

- Mapping Overview: Provides an explanation of the approach to the mappings, a description of how the mapping tables are organized, and other information relevant to reading and interpreting this specification.
- Mapping Caveats & Considerations: Additional background on aspects of CDISC standards that provide additional challenges when mapping from FHIR and guidance on how to address those challenges.
- Mapping domains: Separate pages that describe the mappings for different areas of clinical research information
  - Adverse Events
  - Concomitant Medications

20

# **Considerations for Using CDISC Standards for Observational Studies**

### Goal

- Publish a CDISC-endorsed approach to working with observational research data
- Provide a "stake in the ground" for future expansion

### Scope of Use Cases

- Observational Research Studies
  - Cross-sectional studies
  - Cohort studies
- Clinical trials: external control arm using RWD

### **Development Scope**

• SDTM

cdisc

CDASH, ADaM could come in subsequent version

Funding is provided by the IMI DRAGON project



# **Considerations for Using CDISC Standards for Observational Studies - Overview**

Discussion on common issues encountered when implementing SDTM for observational studies / RWD for External Control Arm studies

Implementation strategies or guidance to address these issues.

Examples illustrating these strategies (where applicable)

• Reuse existing standards; create new domains and variables only if necessary

Examples illustrating any new concepts/strategies that may be identified

Discussion on adjusting conformance rules to better fit these data

- New conformance rules as needed
- Note irrelevant conformance rules for validation checks of observational studies.



## Lessons learned so far...

There aren't many truly show-stopping conformance rules

Existing SDTM domains cover what we need for the use cases we've examined.

Existing variables can also be used as-is or repurposed

SDTM Examples are less informative than discussions of considerations

Examples look like normal SDTM examples

• Discussing how we arrived at the modeling, and how to explain that to reviewers is more impactful



# **Considerations for Using CDISC Standards for Observational Studies - Timeline**





# **CDISC RWD – SDTM Guide**

- CDISC is planning a project to develop an SDTM Implementation Guide for Real World Data
- Projected Project Start: tbd





## **Digital Health Technologies (DHT)**

- An electronic method, system, product, or process that generates, stores, displays, processes and/or uses data within a healthcare setting.
- Examples include mobile health (mHealth), health information technology (IT), wearable devices, telehealth and telemedicine, and personalized medicine.





# **CDISC Digital Health Technologies (DHT) Team**

The purpose of this team is to explore and enhance standardization of digital health technologies data.

### Our aims are to:

- Increase our collective knowledge of digital health technologies and related data;
- In collaboration with a diverse group of stakeholders;
- To determine how CDISC standards can further support use of DHTs; and to
- Develop and publish new supporting standards.



## **CDISC Standards Are Robust Enough to Represent DHT Data**







## **Deliverables**

Initial areas of focus include standards for data:

- Collected using DHTs which contribute to endpoints
- About attributes of devices used

Under consideration are:

- Enhancements to the SDTM and other foundational standards
- Controlled Terminologies and Codetable Mapping Files for digital endpoints
- TBD



# **CDISC Digital Health Technologies (DHT) Team**

- Team of ~ thirty members with diverse experience with DHTs (DEEP, Droice Labs, DiME, C-Path and regulatory
  agencies
- **Research areas** include cardiovascular, central nervous system, dermatology, infectious diseases, respiratory, oncology
- Project Plan:





## **CDISC Knowledge Base**

### eCRF Portal – 65 eCRFs available

| Knowledge Base<br>inboard Search Knowledge Base Q Standard v Profi<br>cles              |   | Q Standard • Proficienc  | ency v Apply X Clear  |  |                    | Form DM - Demographics       1 DM - Demographics       1.1 Birth Date<br>(DD-MIMM-YYYY)       1.2 Age |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------|---|--|---|
| es Collection   | View Edit Delete Clone  | e  | Articles  |  |                    |   |  |   |
|   | Welcome to the CDISC Knowledge Bas  | sel  | Standardized Lab U  | Inits<br>ystem of Units (SI), commonly known as  | Changing Event S   | Severity<br>selow, the red  | line represents a graph of<br>tical event. For most adverse  | Use of FHIR in Clinical Research: From Electronic Medical<br>Records to Analysis<br>In two previous papers, the PhUSE working group   |
| nown Issue is a prol<br>wn issues have no   | UES<br>blem or concern with a CDISC standar<br>obvious solution when they are first ic              | d that CDISC is aware of, and may be wo<br>dentified; and some known issues may p  | rking actively to mitigate<br>rove to be irresolvable.                              | or resolve. Unlike errors or errors that   | t affect conforman | ce,   | ured on a continuous scale;<br>actual severity, not data<br>zontal lines divide severity<br>. "Moderate", and "Severe",<br>rse event severity. | "Investigating the Use of FHIR In Clinical Research"<br>demonstrated that data typically collected in diabetes<br>studies can be extracted from medical records through FHIR<br>(Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) and we can<br>automate the process to populate eCRFs (electronic Case<br>Report Form). These data were then converted to SDTM<br>(Study Data Tabulation Model) which would serve as the                                  |
| nown issue is a proi<br>wn issues have no<br>codelist for ECMOO<br>itandard(s)<br>DTMIG | UES<br>blem or concern with a CDISC standar<br>obvious solution when they are first ic<br>DVariable | d that CDISC is aware of, and may be wo<br>lentified; and some known issues may p<br>TSPARM "Pharmacological Class" T<br>Standard(s)<br>SDTMIG | rking actively to mitigate<br>rove to be irresolvable.<br><b>Terminology Change</b> | or resolve. Unlike errors or errors that<br>Codelists for FA Test Names and '<br>Standard(s)<br>SDTMIG | t affect conforman | € : <b>■</b>  | ured on a continuous scale;<br>actual severity not data<br>zontal lines divide severity<br>"Moderate", and "Severe",<br>rse event severity.    | "Investigating the Use of FHIR In Clinical Research"<br>demonstrated that data typically collected in diabetes<br>studies can be extracted from medical records through FHIR<br>(Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources) and we can<br>automate the process to populate CKFs (electronic Case<br>Report Forms). These data were then converted to SDTM<br>(Study Data Tabulation Model) which would serve as the<br>source for analysis datasets. |

## **CDISC eCRFs**

cdisc

- The eCRF Portal contains machine readable eCRFs •
  - Visual representation of CRF layout with CDASH annotations
  - Machine-readable in ODM format
- Includes CRFs from: •
  - CDASH Implementation Guide v2.1
  - Crohn's Disease Therapeutic Area UG
  - COVID-19 Therapeutic Area UG
  - 65 customizable eCRFs are available

Funding was provided by

Freely downloadable from:

| Demographics   |                      |
|--|----------------------|
| Overview eCRF Considerations eCRI  | Preview Download     |
| Form DM - Demographics   |                      |
| DM - Demographics  |                      |
| What is the subject's date of birth?   | Set Date 01 Jan 2000 |
| What is the subject's age?   |                      |
| What is the age unit used?   | Years                |
| What is the sex of the subject?  | Choose v             |
| Do you consider yourself Hispanic/Latino or not<br>Hispanic/Latino?  | Choose v             |
| Which of the following five racial designations best<br>describes you? (More than one choice is acceptable.) | Choose v             |
| What was the other race?   |                      |
| Mandatory field  |                      |





# **REDCap Uptake of CDISC eCRFs**

## **REDCap Consortia Members**



## Overall Uptake of CDISC eCRFS

| Title   | Downloads |
|---|-----------|
| <ul> <li>CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Demographics</li> </ul>             | 106       |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Disposition                                  | 33        |
| CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Procedures                                   | 27        |
| CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Clinical Events                              | 30        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1 Adverse Events                               | 68        |
| CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Concomitant Medications                      | 57        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Death Details                                | 26        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1 Exposure as Collected                        | 21        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Healthcare Encounters                        | 27        |
| CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Medical History                              | 48        |
| CDISC CDASHIG v2.1 Substance Use - Tobacco                      | 30        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Vital Signs                                  | 59        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Findings About Events or Interventions       | 22        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria                 | 53        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Physical Examination - Recommended           | 27        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1 Laboratory Test Results - Central Processing | 21        |
| CDISC/CDASHIG v2.1/Laboratory Test Results - Local Processing   | 29        |

### \*Since publication in June 2023







## Journal of the Society for Clinical Data Management



cdisc

Clear Data. Clear Impact



- Papers focused on CDISC implementation use cases (all data sources)
- 8 articles published as of 21 Feb 2023
- 9 articles near completion
- Target completion: End of Q3 2023

https://www.jscdm.org/issue/9/info/



### Standardizing Paediatric Clinical Data: The Development of the conect4children (c4c) Cross Cutting Paediatric Data Dictionary

Anando Sen , Victoria Hedley , John Owen , Ronald Cornet , Dipak Kalra , Corinna Engel , Avril Palmeri , Joanne Lee , Jean-Christophe Roze , Joseph F Standing , Adilia Warris , Claudia Pansieri , Rebecca Leary , Mark Turner and Volker Straub

🛗 2023-02-13 🖉 Volume 2 • Issue 3 • 2022 • Fall 2022 - Innovative Implementation of CDISC Standards



### Electronic Submission and Utilization of CDISC Standardized Clinical Study Data in Japan

Yuki Ando

🛗 2023-01-13 🖉 Volume 2 • Issue 3 • 2022 • Fall 2022 - Innovative Implementation of CDISC Standards



### Implementation of COVID-19 Pandemic Impact Standards

Miho Hashio , Sarah Huggett , Stephen Hamburg , Robyn Eichenbaum and Nadeem Gul

2023-01-05 
 ■ Volume 2 • Issue 3 • 2022 • Fall 2022 - Innovative Implementation of CDISC Standards



Developing Technical Specifications for Submitting Clinical Trial Data Sets for Treatment of Noncirrhotic Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) Liver Fibrosis

Y. Veronica Pei , Vaishali Popat , Aaron Belowich and Chenoa Conley

🛗 2023-01-05 🛛 🖉 Volume 2 • Issue 3 • 2022 • Fall 2022 - Innovative Implementation of CDISC Standards



# **Learning Health Education Alliance**

**Vision**: Form an alliance through which researchers can participate in a broad educational program to gain knowledge to ensure trustworthy quality research results to optimize healthcare decisions for the benefit of all individuals.

Target Participants: Academic researchers, independent investigators; industry researchers who write protocols, develop data collection instruments, monitor research studies (not data managers, statisticians), patient advocacy group members

**Founding Partners**: CDISC and LHC, CDISC provides resources to create educational courses that can be delivered on demand online, announce the courses as part of their Education portfolio and advocate for their value.

- LHC identifies and recruits experts to develop most of the course content, provide project management, and promote the courses to academic communities.
- An Advisory Board will review and coordinate content across modules.

**Status**: MOU signed by CDISC and LHC, |10-12 initial course modules | 7 experts have volunteered to develop content (5 from LHC BoD).







# Learning Health Education Alliance: DRAFT Syllabus

|      | Module                                       | Content Development; Expert*                           |
|------|--|--|
| 1    | What is a Learning Health System?            | Dr. Charles Friedman, UM, LHC (previously, NHLBI, ONC) |
| 2    | Using RDW for Research; Lessons Learned      | Dr. Jeffrey Brown, TriNetX (p. Harvard)                |
| 3    | Regulated Clinical Research                  | Tbd  |
| 4    | Putting the Patient First                    | Dr. Joshua Rubin, LHC, UM (p. Kanter Foundation)       |
| 5    | Interoperability and Data Sharing            | Dr. Rebecca Kush, Catalysis (p. Elligo, CDISC)         |
| 6, 7 | RWD, Standards and Terminologies             | Rhonda Facile and others (CDISC)                       |
| 8    | Designing Interoperability in from the Start | Rhonda Facile and others (CDISC)                       |
| 9    | Digital Health Technologies for Research     | Jonathan Chainey (Roche/Genentech)                     |
| 10   | A Machine Learning Enabled Health System     | Dr. Anjun Chen, Tech Forum (p. Stanford)               |
| 11   | Case Studies                                 | Vivli, N3C, Vulcan (as examples)                       |

\*Volunteer course developers







## Thank you!