Round-Table Discussion - review
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**Status:**
Most of the RTD´s were full, and there was lively debate

65 users participated representing one third of the interchange attendance
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How was the RTD concept received?

Huge heterogeneity of implementation approaches

The RTDs complemented the presentations
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How was the RTD concept received? /2

Participants stated they would like to use the RTD concept continue outside the interchange

The requirement to communicate the discussion to CDISC leadership was stressed
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How was the RTD concept received? /3

A lot of participants found the discussion very reassuring

Overall concept was a huge success!
User Round-Table Discussions

**Glimpse into the discussions:**
A lot of comments on the overlap of derived data in SDTM and ADaM

It is important that the models expand into more therapeutic areas

Too much flexibility – people need more standards – ITS GOOD.
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Glimpse into the discussions: /2

Managing and maintaining traceability is challenging

The CDISC structures allow better governance processes
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Next steps:
Comments and key messages will be collated and distributed to CDISC leadership and made publicly available

The concept will be used again
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CDISC asked for input

CDISC GOT input!